

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/045,949

Applicant(s)

DAVIS ET AL.

Examiner

F. Pierre VanderVegt

Art Unit

1644

All Participants:

(1) F. Pierre VanderVegt.

(2) Doug Petry.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 5 February 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1, 2, 5

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agreed to the proposed Examiner's amendment. The amendment to claim 5 was made and agreed to solely to indicate the presence of human manipulation for the generation of the host cell and is not exclusive of a colony of cells. No new matter was introduced by the amendment..